

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

COUNSEL SLIP

COURT FILE NO.: CV-23-00703292-00CL DATE: August 4, 2023

CV-23-00703874-00CL CV-23-00703933-00CL

REGISTRAR: <u>Julietta Costa-Singh</u>

NO. ON LIST: 4

TITLE OF PROCEEDING: WAYGAR CAPITAL INC., AS AGENT FOR NINEPOINT CANADIAN SENIOR

DEBT MASTER FUND L.P. v. QUALITY RUGS OF CANADA LIMITED et al

BEFORE JUSTICE: PENNY

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party, Crown:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Steven Graff	Counsel for Applicnats- Waygar	sgraff@airdberlis.com
Matilda Lici	Captial Inc	mlici@airdberlis.com

For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party, Defence:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Kenneth R Kraft	Counsel for Fuller Landau Group	Kenneth.kraft@dentons.com
Chris W Besant	Counsel for the Respondents	cbesant@grllp.com
Natasha MacParland	Counsel for Ironbridge Equity	nmacparland@dwpv.com
	Partners	
Joseph Latham	Counsel for RSM Canada Ltd	jlatham@goodmans.ca
Kathryn Esaw	Counsel for Mohawk Carpet	kesaw@osler.com
	Distribution Inc	

For Other, Self-Represented:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE PENNY:

There are three applications. The senior secured creditor seeks a creditor driven initial order under the *CCAA* with special powers afforded to the proposed Monitor, Fuller Landau. It also seeks, in the alternative, the appointment of a Receiver. The debtors seek a debtor driven initial order under the *CCAA* and the appointment of RSM as Monitor. It is clear from the material, and the parties agree, that the Court has jurisdiction under the *CCAA* in this case.

As a result of certain concerns which I outlined at the outset of the hearing, I concluded that rushed nature of the applications left me without adequate assistance from counsel and the proposed monitors I therefore indicated I would make an order adjourning this matter on terms. The applications are adjourned to a half day appointment at 10:00 AM on August 18, 2023, before me.

The terms of the adjournment have been worked out by counsel, in accordance with my direction.

The terms and form of the adjournment order negotiated by the parties are acceptable to me. A stay and related corollary relief are necessary pending the full hearing. The remaining terms of the order are necessary for the preservation of parties' rights and appropriate monitoring by the creditor and the putative monitors. The sealing order is warranted. It is necessary to protect sensitive commercial information which, if generally available, could impede the ability of the applicants, whoever they end up being, from maximizing value for the benefit of stakeholders. This meets the *Sherman Estate* test.

My interim order in these proceedings shall issue in the form signed by me this day.

Penny J.